

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/570,909	03/29/2006	Carsten Hopf	14129-00001-US	1220	
23416 75	23416 7590 10/23/2006			EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP			HILL, KEVIN KAI		
- +	P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1633		
		DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/570,909	HOPF, CARSTEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin K. Hill, Ph.D.	1633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· action is non-final.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6 and 12-68</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 7-11 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
Old Claim(s) 1911 are subject to restriction and/or to	siection requirement.					
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · ·	• •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 119(a))_(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	s have been received					
• • •	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Delice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
	·/ —— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Application/Control Number: 10/570,909

Art Unit: 1633

Detailed Action

Page 2

As per the amendment filed September 6, 2006, Applicant has cancelled Claims 1-6 and 12-68.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 7-11, drawn to a method for identifying a gamma secretase and/or a beta secretase modulator, and a method for preparing a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases.

- 2. Should Applicant elect Group I, a species restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of modulating agents. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In response to the restriction requirement, Applicant must further elect a single species for each modulating agent recited in Claims 7, 10 and 11, specifically:
 - i) an agent that modulates gamma secretase,
 - ii) an agent that modulates beta secretase, or
 - iii) an agent that modulates both gamma secretase and beta secretase.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1633

The species are drawn to multiple agents that are structurally distinct and can perform distinctly different functions. Gamma secretase and beta secretase are structurally and functionally different molecules. Furthermore, the ability of a compound to inhibit or modulate the activity of a gamma secretase, or a beta secretase, or gamma secretase and beta secretase is directly dependent on the structure of that compound. The species of modulating agents with distinctly different functional properties are not obvious variations of each other because one skilled in the art does not expect a structure that modulates a gamma secretase to have the same functional properties as a structure that modulates both gamma and beta secretases.

A reference rendering a method of identifying an agent that modulates gamma secretase as anticipated or obvious over the prior art would not necessarily also render a method of identifying an agent that modulates beta secretase as anticipated or obvious over the prior art. Similarly, a finding that a method of identifying an agent that modulates both gamma secretase and beta secretase was novel and unobvious over the prior art would not necessarily extend to a finding that a method of identifying an agent that modulates beta secretase was also novel and unobvious over the prior art. As the technical feature (an agent the modulates secretase activity) linking the members do not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, particularly since each of the species does not share a substantially common structural feature or function, the requirement for unity of invention is not fulfilled.

Applicant is required to elect a single named species as listed in the cited claims to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: Claims 1 and 11, and claims dependent therefrom correspond to all the species listed above.

Art Unit: 1633

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin K. Hill, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-8036. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, between 9:00am-6:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-0731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DAVETRONG NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER